Yemen Journal of Medicine

https://yemenjmed.com

Article information

DOI: 10.63475/yjm.v4i1.0093

Article history:
Received: 28 April 2025
Accepted: 12 May 2025
Published: 28 May 2025

Correspondence to:
Mostafa Essam Eissa
Email: mostafaessameissa@yahoo.com
ORCID: 0000-0003-3562-5935

How to cite this article
Eissa ME. Air pollution, health outcomes, and environmental justice in the Mid-Atlantic State City: a public health lesson from 15-year perspective (2005-2019). Yemen J Med.2025;4(1):5-8

Perspective

Air Pollution, Health Outcomes, and Environmental Justice in the Mid-Atlantic State City: A Public Health Lesson from a 15-Year Perspective (2005-2019)

Mostafa Essam Eissa[1]

1 MSc (Pharmaceutical Sciences), Pharmaceutical Scientist | Certified Six Sigma Green Belt, Independent Researcher and Freelance Consultant, Former Inspector in CAPA.

The city that never sleeps, a vibrant tapestry of dense neighborhoods and relentless energy, has long grappled with the pervasive challenge of air pollution. The very dynamism that defines the city – its traffic, buildings, and industry – contributes to ambient concentrations of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) and ground-level ozone (O_3), pollutants known to exact a heavy toll on public health. [1-3] An extended analysis of environmental health data from 2005 to 2019, meticulously compiled by the town Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH), offers a crucial lens through which to view the city's journey: a narrative marked by significant public health victories interwoven with stark, persistent environmental injustices. [4] This fifteen-year period reveals not just the efficacy of targeted interventions but also the deeply entrenched disparities that continue to leave vulnerable communities uneasy. Thus, the aforementioned challenge provides a very important lesson to address similar problems around the globe, especially in the crowded urban areas.

SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS: IMPROVEMENTS IN AIR QUALITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH OUTCOMES

The encouraging side of this story lies in the tangible improvements in air quality-related health outcomes across the city. Between 2005 and 2019, the Big Apple witnessed remarkable reductions in the burden of major air pollutants. $PM_{2.5}$-related respiratory hospitalizations, for instance, plummeted by an estimated 65%, while ozone-related asthma emergency department (ED) visits decreased by approximately 38%. [4] These are not mere statistics; they represent thousands of averted hospital visits, reduced suffering, and potentially saved lives. This progress did not occur in a vacuum. It is temporally correlated with the implementation of decisive local air quality policies, most notably the city's aggressive Clean Heat program. [5] Launched following Local Law 43 of 2010, this initiative mandated the phase-out of highly polluting heavy heating oils (No. 6 and No. 4) used in building boilers, a primary source of wintertime $PM_{2.5}$ and sulfur dioxide. [6] The dramatic decline in $PM_{2.5}$-related respiratory hospitalizations strongly suggests the potent impact of tackling major stationary emission sources head-on. Similarly, efforts like the Clean Air Taxi program and broader vehicle fleet modernization likely contributed to reducing precursors for both $PM_{2.5}$ and ozone. [7]

This progress serves as compelling evidence that well-designed, rigorously enforced environmental policies can yield substantial public health dividends.

ENVIRONMENTAL INJUSTICE: THE UNEQUAL BURDEN OF AIR POLLUTION

However, this narrative of success is critically incomplete without acknowledging the shadows of inequality that loom large over the city's environmental health landscape. The benefits of cleaner air have not been shared equally. The analysis starkly reveals that the burden of air pollution falls disproportionately on low-income communities and communities of color, painting a grim picture of environmental injustice. [4, 8] The Bronx emerges as the epicenter of this disparity, consistently demonstrating the highest rates of pollution-related health impacts across multiple metrics. [4] For the 2017-2019 period, $PM_{2.5}$-related asthma ED visit rates in the Bronx were nearly double the citywide average, and ozone-related rates were also the highest among the boroughs. [9] Neighborhoods within the South Bronx, such as Hunts Point-Mott Haven, alongside areas like East Harlem in Upper Manhattan, repeatedly surface as hotspots. Hunts Point-Mott Haven, for example, exhibited $PM_{2.5}$-related child asthma ED visit rates (147 per 100,000 children in 2017-2019) far exceeding those in more affluent areas like Bayside-Little Neck (10 per 100,000 children). [4]

UNDERSTANDING THE DISPARITIES: SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS AND VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

This geographic disparity is inextricably linked to socioeconomic factors. The data reveal a strong inverse correlation ($r = -0.73$) between neighborhood median income and asthma ED visit rates – lower income predicts higher rates. [4] Neighborhoods with poverty rates exceeding 20% experienced asthma ED visit rates roughly three times higher than low-poverty areas. [4] This finding underscores the cruel intersection of poverty and pollution. These are often the same communities burdened by the legacy of discriminatory planning practices, including the historical siting of highways, waste transfer stations, and industrial facilities. [10, 11] Factors associated with poverty – poorer housing quality (with associated indoor allergens like mold and pests), limited access to quality healthcare and asthma management resources, and heightened psychosocial stress – compound the vulnerability created by higher exposure to outdoor pollutants. [8,12] The fact that Bronx neighborhoods accounted for approximately 30% of the estimated pollution-related health cases while comprising only about 17% of the city's population starkly quantifies this disproportionate impact. [4] Achieving true public health requires confronting these systemic inequities directly. The analysis further illuminates specific demographic vulnerabilities. Children stand out as particularly susceptible to air pollution's respiratory effects. Across the city, $PM_{2.5}$ and ozone-related asthma ED visit rates were consistently two to three times higher among children (ages 0-17) compared to adults. [4] In the 2017-2019 period, the $PM_{2.5}$-related rate was 62 per 100,000 children versus 28 per 100,000 adults; for ozone, it was 85 versus 41. [9] This heightened risk stems from physiological factors like developing lungs, narrower airways, higher breathing rates relative to body size, and potentially more time spent outdoors. [13] The alarmingly high rates seen in children in hotspot neighborhoods like East Harlem (214 per 100k for ozone-related asthma ED visits) demand urgent, targeted intervention focused on pediatric health, school environments, and home settings. [4] Conversely, the burden of severe cardiovascular outcomes, particularly $PM_{2.5}$-related hospitalizations, falls more heavily on older adults (40+), reflecting their higher prevalence of underlying cardiovascular disease and potentially increased susceptibility to pollution's systemic inflammatory effects. [4, 14]

PERSISTENT CHALLENGES: THE COMPLEXITY OF OZONE AND FUTURE THREATS

While the success in curbing $PM_{2.5}$ impacts, especially from heating oil, is clear, controlling ground-level ozone presents an ongoing and complex challenge. Ozone is a secondary pollutant, formed in the atmosphere through photochemical reactions involving nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of sunlight. Its formation and transport are influenced by weather patterns and emissions from sources across the region, not just within city limits. [15] Although ozone-related health impacts like asthma ED visits did decline between 2005 and 2019, the improvement (~38%) was less pronounced than for $PM_{2.5}$-related respiratory hospitalizations (~65%). [4] This underscores the difficulty in managing a pollutant heavily influenced by regional transport and complex atmospheric chemistry. Continued progress on ozone will necessitate robust collaboration with neighboring states and stronger federal action on precursor emissions from power plants, industry, and transportation across the Northeast corridor. Furthermore, the specter of climate change, with its potential for warmer temperatures, threatens to exacerbate ozone formation, adding another layer of complexity to future air quality management efforts.

CHARTING THE PATH FORWARD: STRATEGIES FOR ACHIEVING HEALTH EQUITY

Looking ahead, the path towards cleaner air and health equity in the Big City requires a multi-faceted approach grounded in the lessons learned from the 2005-2019 data. Building on past successes is crucial, but future efforts must be laser-focused on dismantling the environmental injustices that continue to impact several geographical regions worldwide. These demands prioritize emission reduction strategies in the most burdened communities. Accelerating the transition to zero-emission vehicles (particularly trucks and buses serving these areas), continuing the decarbonization of buildings, and implementing stricter controls on remaining industrial and infrastructure-related pollution sources in environmental justice neighborhoods are paramount. Investing in green infrastructure, such as parks and tree canopies, can also help mitigate local pollution and urban heat island effects in vulnerable areas.

Simultaneously, addressing the profound disparities in childhood asthma requires intensified efforts beyond ambient air quality control. Comprehensive school-based environmental health programs, including enhanced air filtration and integrated pest management, particularly in high-burden areas, are essential. Expanding access to home-based interventions that identify and mitigate indoor triggers (like mold and pests) for high-risk children, coupled with improved coordination between pediatric care providers and community health workers, can create healthier environments where children live, learn, and play. [12]

Finally, tackling the intricate web of social determinants that amplify vulnerability is critical. Air pollution policies must be integrated with broader strategies addressing poverty, housing quality, healthcare access, and structural racism. [8] Empowering communities through participatory research, community-led monitoring initiatives, and meaningful involvement in policy decisions is vital to ensuring that solutions are effective, equitable, and sustainable. The analysis of New York City (NYC)'s air pollution and health data from 2005-2019 tells a story of hope and hardship. It validates the power of policy to improve public health on a large scale, yet serves as a stark reminder that citywide progress can mask deep, localized inequities. The challenge now is to move beyond celebrating average improvements and commit unequivocally to achieving environmental justice. Only by targeting interventions, addressing systemic disparities, and centering the needs of the most vulnerable can a major crowded city ensure that the right to breathe clean air becomes a reality for all its residents, regardless of their zip code or socioeconomic status. The data have illuminated the path; the political will and collective action must follow.

CONCLUSION

While NYC's air quality journey showcases policy triumphs, the persistence of 'sacrifice zones' demands a paradigm shift. Future progress hinges not just on scaling existing strategies but on weaving environmental justice into the city's metabolic fabric. This requires leveraging hyperlocal, real-time monitoring driven by community science, empowering residents as data stewards. Furthermore, integrating air quality goals with climate resilience planning is crucial; interventions like green infrastructure must be prioritized in vulnerable areas, offering co-benefits for heat mitigation and pollution reduction. Ultimately, achieving breathable equity necessitates moving beyond siloed approaches towards holistic urban ecosystem management, where clean air is recognized not merely as an outcome of regulation but as a fundamental component of social infrastructure and a prerequisite for collective urban well-being in an era of accelerating environmental change. This perspective provided several key lessons to be learned globally for all other similar situations that challenge public health.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. Kheirbek I, Haney J, Douglas S, Ito K, Matte T. The contribution of motor vehicle emissions to ambient fine particulate matter public health impacts in New York City. Environ Health. 2016;15(1):89.
2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Particulate Matter. 2019 [cited 2025 Apr 1]. Available from: https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/isa/recordisplay.cfm?deid=347534
3. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants. 2020 [cited 2025 Apr 1]. Available from: https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/isa/recordisplay.cfm?deid=348522
4. New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH). Environment & Health Data Portal: Health Impacts of Air Pollution. [Internet]. Accessed [Simulation Date, e.g., 2024]. Available from: https://www.google.com/search?q=https://a816-dohbesp.nyc.gov/IndicatorPublic/data-explorer/health-impacts-of-air-pollution/%3Fid%3D2120%23display%3Dsummary
5. NYC Mayor's Office of Climate & Environmental Justice. Clean Heat Program. [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 1]. Available from: https://www.google.com/search?q=https://www.nyc.gov/site/climate/get-involved/clean-heat.page
6. Kheirbek I, Haney J, Douglas S, Ito K, Caputo S Jr, Matte T. The public health benefits of reducing fine particulate matter through conversion to cleaner heating fuels in New York City. Environ Sci Technol. 2014 Nov 3;48(23):13573-82.
7. New York City Taxi & Limousine Commission. Electric Vehicles [Internet]. New York: NYC Taxi & Limousine Commission; [cited 2025 Apr 26]. Available from: https://www.google.com/search?q=https://www.nyc.gov/site/tlc/vehicles/electric-vehicles.page
8. Morello-Frosch R, Zuk M, Jerrett M, Shamasunder B, Kyle AD. Understanding the cumulative impacts of inequalities in environmental health: implications for policy. Health Aff (Millwood). 2011;30(5):879-87.
9. ASTHMA STUDY [Internet]. Available from: https://www.health.ny.gov/statistics/ny_asthma/pdf/2018-2019_asthma_burden_nyc.pdf
10. Maantay JA. Zoning, equity, and public health. Am J Public Health. 2001;91(7):1033-41.
11. Nardone A, Casey JA, Morello-Frosch R, Mujahid M, Balmes JR, Thakur N. Associations between historical residential redlining and current age-adjusted rates of emergency department visits due to asthma across eight cities in California: an ecological study. The Lancet Planetary Health. 2020 Jan 1;4(1):e24-31.

12. Krieger J, Takaro TK, Song L, Beaudet N, Edwards K. The Seattle–King County Healthy Homes II Project: a randomized controlled trial of asthma self-management support comparing clinic-based nurses and in-home community health workers. Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine. 2009 Feb;163(2):141.
13. Bateson TF, Schwartz J. Children's response to air pollution. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2008;71(3):238-43.
14. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope III CA, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: an update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010 Jun 1;121(21):2331-78.
15. National Research Council (NRC). Rethinking the Ozone Problem in Urban and Regional Air Pollution. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 1992.